THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K.C.BHANU

Review W.P.M.P.No. 4238 of 2006 in

W.P.No.2036 of 2005

ORDER:

Heard both sides. This Court, while disposing of the above Writ Petition at the stage of admission on 09.02.2005, directed the respondent therein to consider the case of petitioner in case of any vacancy arising in future. The said order is sought to be reviewed by the review petitioner, who is respondent in the Writ Petition, stating that in pursuance of the order dated 15.07.2004 in Writ Petition No. 2693 of 1994 which was filed for the same relief, the case of the writ petitioner was considered and rejected vide letter dated 30.08.2005 and therefore, the remedy of the writ petitioner is to challenge the same.

The learned counsel for the respondent, who is petitioner in the Writ Petition, contended that copy of letter dated 30.08.2005 has not been served on the writ petitioner and therefore there is no scope or possibility for the respondent to challenge the same.

The parties are arrayed as they are referred to in the Writ Petition.

It is an admitted fact that an order has already been passed by the respondent on 30.08.2005 in pursuance of directions in the earlier Writ Petition, informing the petitioner about his non-eligibility for regular appointment. Therefore, the said memo can be challenged if the petitioner is so aggrieved. In view of the fact that the counsel for the petitioner stated that the said memo dated 30.08.2005 has not been served, the respondent is directed to serve a copy of the same on the petitioner.

With the above observation, the review petition is ordered and the Writ Petition is closed accordingly.

24.02.2006

DRK